

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/539,576		06/17/2005	Martin Bottcher	449122081600	4513	
25227	7590	02/08/2006		EXAMINER		
MORRISO	N & FOI	ERSTER LLP	BARRERA, RAMON M			
1650 TYSO	NS BOUL	EVARD				
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MCLEAN,	MCLEAN, VA 22102				2832	
				DATE MAIL ED. 02/09/2004	DATE MAIL ED. 02/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/539,576	BOTTCHER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ramon M. Barrera	2832					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 June 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •						
application from the International Bureau	•	at in the realistic stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Address							
Attachment(s)      Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO 413)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/17/05.	5) Notice of Informal P.	atent Application (PTO-152)					
i apoi ino(a) maii bale <u>w 17700</u> .	o)						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 1 recites the broad recitation "for a switch", and the claim also recites "in particular in the mediumvoltage sector" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation. Claims 2-7 inherit the defect in their parent claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,576 Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamazaki (US6373675), cited on applicant's IDS.

Yamazaki in figs. 3-4 discloses an electromagnetic drive having a magnet body (5), moving part (4,10), permanent magnet (7), conductor coil (10), soft magnetic latching body (4), and spring (11).

5. Claims 1, 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Toshiba (EP1124244A2), cited on applicant's IDS.

Toshiba in figs. 1 and 10 discloses an electromagnetic drive having a magnet body (81,83), moving part (4,82,84,88), permanent magnet (5,85), conductor coil (4,86), soft magnetic latching body (82,84), spring (16,89), and stops (81a).

Application/Control Number: 10/539,576 Page 4

Art Unit: 2832

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toshiba, cited above.

Toshiba discloses a latching body 82 connected to an (inner) end of a coil (4) but does not disclose the coil having a former. It was commonly known to those of ordinary skill in the art that a coil former may be used for the purpose of providing structural support and electrical insulation. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ a coil former in Toshiba for the purpose recognized in the art of Toshiba, as discussed above.

8. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki, et al., cited above.

Yamazaki discloses a latching body 4 connected to an end of a coil 10 but does not disclose the coil having a former. It was commonly known to those of ordinary skill in the art that a coil former may be used for the purpose of providing structural support and electrical insulation. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ a coil former in Yamazaki for the purpose recognized in the art of Yamazaki, as discussed above.

Art Unit: 2832

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramon M. Barrera whose telephone number is (571) 272-1987. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 11 to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramon M Barrera Primary Examiner Art Unit 2832

rmb